



TRTR-2005 / IGORR-10 Joint Meeting September 12-16, 2005 - Gaithersburg, MD



# Developing Irradiation Devices for Fuel Experiments in the Jules HOROWITZ Reactor









♥ Introduction

- Steps required to qualify nuclear fuels in a MTR
- ♦ JHR fuel irradiation device studies
  - Examples of fuel irradiation device studies
    A major possibility: the displacement system
    PWR loop for fuel rod cluster
    V/HTR capsule
    GCFR capsule

Conclusion



- ✓ International Advisory Group (OECD)
- ✓ Bilateral contacts with industry

### Main steps required to qualify nuclear fuels in MTR AREVA

#### 1. Selection irradiations

P Choose a few number of materials fulfilling given needs among a lot of candidates

2. Characterization and understanding irradiations

Check fuel behavior in all potential situations

- P Gain data on material properties controlling fuel behavior
- P Build-up fuel behavior models



CADARACHE

P

P





#### A JHR key feature: The displacement system

## ✤ Possibility to

- Vary the fuel linear power (LHGR) by adjustment of the devicecore distance
- $\checkmark$  Control easily the power variation rate
- $\checkmark$  Have a rear position at very low LHGR

## Solution Takes profit from the huge experience feedback in Osiris

- ✓ Isabelle 1.... ► **Ramp tests**, Power cycling...
- ✓ Acknowledged by a panel of experts (CEA-EDF-FRAMATOME ANP) as a reference facility for ramp programs

#### Solution Will be the reference system for fuel experiment conducting in JHR





AREVA

## C4m: A loop for PWR rod cluster (1/2)



#### ✤ Irradiation of 6 to 8 rods in the same PWR conditions

- ✓ Comparative characterization of fuel microstructures (e.g. end-of-life behavior)
- ✓ Fissile length up to 60 cm
- Instrumented rods (central temperature, internal pressure, gas sweeping minitubes)



![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

Loop design can evolve depending on the needs

TRTR-2005 / IGORR-10 Joint Meeting, September, 12-16, 2005, Gaithersburg, MD

### C4m: A loop for PWR rod cluster (2/2)

- ♥ C4m challenging specifications
  - ✓ Mean LHGR range: 200 400 W/cm
  - ✓ Maximum LHGR gradient in the cluster < 10 %

Compatible with
 a fixed position ?

AREVA

#### Sexamples of neutronic calculations results (TRIPOLI 4 code)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

2% <sup>235</sup>U UO<sub>2</sub> rods Zy alloy pressure tubes

Power variations reproduced by <sup>3</sup>He screen

![](_page_7_Figure_9.jpeg)

Square lattice Mean LHGR: 430 W/cm Power gradient not satisfying: **34%** 

Ring shaped cluster + crescent-shape neutronic screen Mean LHGR: 350 W/cm Power gradient meets specifications: **6%** 

CADARACHE 8/12

TRTR-2005 / IGORR-10 Joint Meeting, September, 12-16, 2005, Gaithersburg, MD

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ♦ Irradiation of a compact stack (V/HTR) ✓ Characterization and qualification experiments ✓ 8 compacts stack (40 cm) in a capsule Statistical approach (2 devices/displacement system) ✓ Central temperature : 900-1400°C (nominal) ✓ Fission product release monitoring (FP//L/ab) HTR fuel (compacts) He gas gap (7 MPa) On displacement system Graphite tube Sample holder shell Inner and outer pressure tubes with He/N<sub>2</sub> gas gap Temperature decrease controlled by gas gaps CADKRACHE

9 / 12

TRTR-2005 / IGORR-10 Joint Meeting, September, 12-16, 2005, Gaithersburg, MD

#### C4g: A gas rig for V/HTR conditions (2/2)

### Solution C4g challenging specifications

- $\checkmark$  Flat axial temperature distribution
- ✓ Large range of LHGR: 60-200 W/cm
- ✓ Power discrepancy between compacts : 5 %
- $\checkmark$  Very soft neutronic spectrum (fast/thermal # 0,5)

#### Thermal calculations results

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

Necessity to adapt locally the irradiation conditions

AREVA

Axial temperature flattened by a variable outer gas gap thickness

Sample temperature adjusted by gas outer gap composition  $(\text{He} -> N_2 => \Delta T = + 80^{\circ}\text{C})$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Sequence assessment Preliminary neutronic performance assessment

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

In place of a JHR fuel element

CADKRACHE

irradiation location optimization
Design will be optimized after
thermal-hydraulical assessment

#### Syden JHR may be a useful facility for GFR material science

11 / 12

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Design of a new generation of fuel devices answering sustainable energy needs based on

- ✓ Anticipated end-users and scientific people needs
- ✓ Identified irradiation scenarios offering challenging specifications and demanding inter-cycle operations

#### Synthesis of the design studies

- ✓ Presented results are a first exploratory work (JHR definition phase)
- ✓ Fulfilling a large range of irradiation specifications by local adaptation of environmental conditions (LHGR, neutronic spectrum, temperature,...)

#### Solution States States

- ✓ Importance of the European Union collaboration (6<sup>th</sup> FP "MTR+")
- $\checkmark$  Work is wide open to the international collaboration

CADARACHE