



INSPECTING A RESEARCH REACTOR'S CONTROL ROD SURFACE FOR PITTING USING A MACHINE VISION APPROACH

Akira Tokuhiro* Shreekanth Vadakattu**

*work done as Director & SRO,University of Missouri-Rolla Reactor facility; currently at Kansas State University

** MS Thesis, January 2005

UMRR Characteristics



Control rod characteristics

- Number of Rods used at UMRR: 3 safety and 1 regulating rod
- Dimensions of the rod:
 - Length 27 inches (68.58cm)
 - Width 2.25 inches (5.72cm)
 - Thickness 0.875 inches (2.23cm)
 - Material 18-8 borated stainless steel with 1.5-1.7% natural boron





Traditional Inspection process

- Physical inspection using gauges performed by UMRR staff
- Subjective in nature; potential source of error
- Personnel radiation exposure during inspection.

Introduction

Automation of inspection process

- Automation piecewise using state-of-the-art technologies.
- Minimizes personnel exposure during inspection; mandated under NRC license (ALARA)
- Potentially provides smaller error
- Provides archival quantitative results.

Control Rod Inspection Process at UMRR

Why to perform inspection of control rod?

According to the UMR Technical Specification 4.2.1(5) shim/safety rods shall be visually inspected annually for bowing, pitting and cracking to ensure whether they are capable of performing their function.

Inspection for Bowing:

- Bow on the control rod surface is mainly due to the ductile behavior, high temperature and high energy neutron bombardment and secondarily, temperature gradient.
- Bowing is measured to ensure geometric accuracy or shape deviation (bow) of control rod surface and make sure it does not frictionally contact with the control rod channel when driven in or out of the fuel element.

• Inspection for Pitting:

- Pitting on the control rod surface may be due to bombardment of high energy neutrons and corrosion caused by impurities in coolant (Coolants is doubly deionized water).
- Resistivity of the coolant water observed is 0.806 M Ω -cm.
- Pitting is measured to check for physical degradation on the control rods.

Inspection process at UMRR

- Control rods are retrieved from the reactor core and placed on to a measuring stand partially behind the shielding.
- This inspection task is performed using Standard Operating Procedures (SOP 302) for control rod inspection and recorded in permanent log book.
- After placing the rod on the measuring stand, bowing is measured by placing a level on the rod surface and moving feeler gauge blades between the level plates and the control rod surface.
- Pitting is visually inspected and noted in the permanent log book.



Objective

- To stepwise automate annual control rod inspection task. Inspection tasks involve:
 - Measurement of bowing using Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM)
 - Evaluation of surface pitting of the control rod surface using Machine Vision technique.
- Third Step (not done)
 - Programming of a robotic arm to move Control Rod from the pool to measuring stand and inspect using machine vision.



Bowing Inspection using CMM

 Coordinate measuring machines (CMM) are mechanical systems designed to move a measuring probe to determine coordinates of points on a work piece surface.

• CMM Specs:

- Manufacturer: Brown & Sharpe
- Series: Micro Val pfx
- Measuring Range: 458x510x406 mm (18x20x16 inches)



Inspection using CMM Continued...

- To measure amount of bow on the control rod surface, many points are collected from the surface using CMM.
- The process of collecting points from any physical part to reproduce its features is called "reverse engineering"
- Reverse engineering requirements:
 - CMM (Brown & Sharpe) instrument
 - Touch Trigger probe system (Reinshaw M8)

senses surface contour.

 CAD Solid Modeling software to reproduce
 parts (UNIGRAPHICS NX2)

Inspection using CMM Contd...

- 600 points were digitized which represents 15 inches of control rod in length.
- Points collected from the DMIS program are retrieved using CAD UNIGRAPHICS software.
- Surface is generated using "Free form feature"
- Slope analysis is performed on the surface to determine bow on the control rod surface.



Results

Slope of the surface with respect to XC-Axis in degrees



Discussion

- The width of the control rod is 0.850 inches (21.59 mm) and the inside width of the fuel element guide is 0.980 inches (24.89 mm).
- The maximum allowed tolerance between the fuel element guide and the control rod surface is 0.065 inches (1.65 mm) on either side of the control rod surface
- The maximum bow measured was 0.031 inches (0.787 mm) at 11 inches from the tip of the control rod which is well within the acceptable limit 0.065 inches.



Pitting Inspection on Control Rod Surface Using Machine Vision

Pitting inspection using Machine Vision

Since in-service control rod surface images were not available to estimate number of pits, applicability of machine vision technique was performed by simulating pits on steel coupons

Pits are produced on steel coupon by immersing coupons in oxygen saturated de-ionized water at 30°, 50° and 70°C; deionized water is from reactor pool

ASTM G-31 and G-46 standards for immersion corrosion testing, examination and evaluation of pitting corrosion respectively is followed

Experiment Parameters

- Steel Coupon: SAE 1018 low carbon steel
- Coupon size: 25 X 25 mm ±1mm.
- Test solution: De-ionized water
- Test temperatures: 30°, 50° and 70°C (86°, 122° and 158°F)
- Aeration: Oxygen bubbled using sparger at a flow rate of 20 ml/min.
- Test duration: 24hrs for 30°C, 12hrs for 50°C, 8hrs for 70°C.
- Test cycles: 10 for each temperature
- Number of samples: 6 samples for each temperature range
- Cleaning Method: Using Nylon brush.

Bristle Diameter = 0.4 mm

Number of Bristle=3320 approximately

Image Acquisition

To study the pitting corrosion, images of the coupons are captured after each test cycle.

To capture and analyze optical properties from the images, we setup the following system.

Optical Unit

Camera lens (NIKON 50 mm lens)

Light bulb (75 watts)

Mini Environmental Quality meter-850070(Light meter)

Image sensing unit

• CCD camera (768 X 494) (Sony SR75 Model)

PCI Imaging Board for Camera Link from EPIX Inc technologies

XCAP-Standard software from EPIX Inc technologies

Experimental Setup ALDERT TIMSTEIN 1879-1999 -----PIXI Image 1 Frame Interface tar ar Grabber Board 2) 5 3 PC Angle 35.5°

9. 2.





Image Processing Steps

Capture images using machine vision setup
Perform Image Analysis Using MATLAB
Blob Analysis Using XCAP-Std software

Blob Analysis Parameters

Blob analysis recognizes blobs based on the input values set for Minimum Blob width, Maximum Blob width, Minimum blob height, Maximum Blob height

- These parameters are set by the user to locate size of the blob or pit (in pixel) on the image.
- The input values that can be set range from 2 (pixel) for Minimum blob width and height and 9999 (pixel) for Maximum blob width and height.

Blob Analysis Parameters	Values set for
Minimum Blob width	2 pixel (0.02mm)
Maximum Blob width	50 pixel (0.5mm)
Minimum blob height	2 pixel (0.02mm)
Maximum Blob height	50 pixel (0.5mm)

Image analysis Contd...

RGB Image





Pits (blobs)

(D)

Grey Scale Image

Results

Light intensity versus number of pits

- Blob analysis is performed on the captured images after each test cycle to estimate number of pits.
- Light intensity versus number of pits helps in selecting the image showing maximum number of pits.
- Image with maximum topological information; i.e. maximum number of pits is sought.

Light intensity Versus number of pits for Sample-1 at 50°C Sample-1 (Test cycle-1) Number of pits observed Number of pits Intensity(LUX) Sample-1 (Test cycle-2) Number of pits observed Number of pits

Intensity(LUX)



Average number of pits observed at 30°, 50° and 70°C



Discussion

- Machine vision technique is applied on the (un-irradiated) control rod surface.
- Images of the tip of the control rod is captured at 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350 and 400 Lux.



150 Lux

200 Lux

Discussion Continued...

- Blob Analysis is performed on the images to estimate number of pits.
- Light intensity versus number of pits is plotted to identify the image with maximum number of pits.
- Image captured at 150 Lux revealed maximum number of pits (37)



Conclusions

- State-of-the-art technologies such as CMM and machine vision can be used to automate control rod inspection process
- Simple reverse-engineered surface generated after annual inspection can be compared from year-to-year; thereby reveal bowing trend of the control rod surface with accumulated incore service time.
- Plotting number of pits versus light intensity is needed to identify the light intensity that gives the maximum number of pits; the maximum and distribution provide useful topographic information.

Conclusions Continued...

- Application of both CMM and machine vision can be applied on the actual control rods of the UMR Reactor; thereby all but eliminate subjective evaluation
- Application of CMM and machine vision facilitates IT-based data archiving and data comparison
- Although not shown here, it is possible to use a late-model, programmable robotic arm to perform the control rod to/from movements from the pool's surface

Contact information

Akira Tokuhiro Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering Kansas State University Rathbone Hall Manhattan, Kansas USA 66506-5205 E: tokuhiro@ksu.edu T: +1-785-532-3429 F: +1-785-532-7057

Procedure to capture images

- Method for capturing images is based on the optical reflective properties of the surface and principles of light scattering.
- After each cycle, coupon is placed inside the box on photographic paper to obtain best sharpness on the coupon surface, between two light sources and perpendicular to the lens so that light is reflected from the coupon surface.
- Images are captured under varied light intensity to reveal the surface topology of the coupon
- Since it is difficult to identify at which particular light intensity value the surface gives maximum topological information, eight different light intensities 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350 and 400 Lux are chosen which is measured using Light meter.
- Light intensity measured using light meter.

Image Analysis

- After capturing images at different intensities of light, XCAP-Std software is used to identify the image that reveal maximum number of pits.
- XCAP-Std has a Blob Analysis features which recognizes blobs as pits on the images and generates output report containing number of blobs.
- Blob Analysis feature in XCAP-Std identifies image blobs based on segmenting the image into foreground that is blob and background.

Since the image captured is in RGB (Red, Green and Blue), filters available in XCAP-Std are not compatible with this format (RGB) and further blob analysis could not recognize between foreground and background in the image.

- To overcome this problem, image is converted into binary images (black and white) using MATLAB.
- By doing this all the black picture elements as foreground are identified as pits and background as white picture elements.

Inspection using CMM Contd...

- 1) The control rod is setup on two Lbracket on either ends of the rod to make it parallel to the granite work bench.
- 2) Before digitizing the points on the control rod with the probe, a grid of lines are drawn at a distance of 0.25 inches to locate points with the probe.
- 3) The digitized data (points) obtained from the CMM should be converted into the industry standard to use it in a solid modeling software. CAPPS-NT Version 5.1 is used for this purpose.
- 4) Point data is generated by taking one hit at a time. After each hit, part program is generated for that particular point in the DMIS program window of CAPPS-NT



Slope of the surface with respect to YC-Axis in degrees



Slope of the surface with respect to ZC-Axis in degrees



Past Investigations

Authors	Pitting Corrosion experiment method	Purpose
Kong D Y	Immersion test of AISI 316L stainless steel in 3% NaCl aqueous solution at pH 2.5 and 5.0	To study topography of corroded areas using SEM and grey scale images
Bogaert- Alvarez R J	Immersion of 430, 304L,316L and alloy 278 in 3.5 wt % NaCI aqueous solution made of 34 gm NaCI and 920 ml distilled water according to ASTM G61- 86	Electrochemical noise experiment to establish relationship between Electrochemical noise, pit depth and pit density.

Sample-1 50°C, Light intensity Vs Pits for test cycle 3-6









Sample-1 50°C, Light intensity Vs Pits for test cycle 7-10









Average number of pits observed at 50°C



Average number of pits observed at 70°C

