### Technical Meeting on Research Reactor Ageing Management, **Refurbishment and Modernization**

31 May-4 June 2021



## **METHODOLOGY FOR SSC AGEING EVALUATIONS IN** THE FRAMEWORK OF SAFETY REVIEWS FOR **ARGENTINE RESEARCH REACTORS**

Argentine Atomic **Energy Commission** (CNEA)

Brenna, P.<sup>1</sup>, Yllañez, D.<sup>1</sup>, Antonaccio, E.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica (CNEA), Argentina

Contacts: <u>brenna@cnea.gov.ar;</u> <u>yllanez@cnea.gov.ar;</u> <u>antonaccio@cnea.gov.ar</u>

.....







### OUTLINE

- Background 1.
- 2. Main tool for review
- 3. Condition assessment report description
- 4. Reporting results of the review







### BACKGROUND

# reactors.

The Regulator has developed a guideline that contains definitions, scope and general aspects for the development of these Safety Reviews divided in thematic areas:





The Argentine Regulator requires that safety reviews be carried out on research

### MAIN TOOL FOR REVIEW (A1 f2)

- List of SSC and their safety function
- Technical information (drawings, design data)
- Safety Report
- Maintenance and surveillance practices
- Operating and maintenance records



-------

Verify physical state of safety related SSC and identify degradation that could affect the safety function



- Rating of A1f2 assessment for each component (excellent, good, regular, poor)
- Recommendations, findings, opportunities of improvement

One report per system with a safety function, divided in sections for each group of components







## **CONDITION ASSESSMENT REPORT**



with a safety function

component (or group of components)





-----





### **REPORTING RESULTS OF THE REVIEW**

Components ratings, recommendations, findings and other results from all Condition Assessment Reports are collected and summarized in a single A1f2 report.

Then, the results of A1f2 review are analyzed along with the results of A1f1 and A1f3 and a global assessment for A1 of each structure and component is determined.

Finally, recommendations, findings, opportunities of improvement and other task identified during the review of A1 are prioritized for its implementation. At this point, the review stage is concluded and the implementation stage begins.









### **Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica**

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_5.jpeg)